

TITLE: Draft Jodrell BankSupplementary Planning Document ("SPD")

VERSION CONTROL

Date	Version	Author	Description of Changes
24.05.2021	1	Tom Evans	Initial Draft
-	-	Sarah Walker	EDI sign off



CHESHIRE EAST COUNCIL - EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Stage 1 Description: Fact finding (about your policy / service /

Department	Strategic Planning		Lead officer responsible for assessment		Tom Evans, Neighbourhood Plan Manager		
Service	Environmental and Neighbourhood Services		Other members of assessment	f team undertaking	Tom Evans, Neighbourhood Plan Manager		
Date	24/05/2021		Version 1				
Type of document (mark as appropriate)	Strategy YES	Plan	Function	Policy	Procedure	Service	
Is this a new/ existing/ revision of an existing document (please mark as appropriate)	New YES			sting	Revision		
Title and subject of the impact assessment (include a brief description of the aims, outcomes, operational issues as appropriate and how it fits in with the wider aims of the organisation) Please attach a copy of the strategy/ plan/ function/ policy/ procedure/ service	Background Supplementary Plan plan. They can be undesign. SPDs are of development plan. In conformity with positive implementation SPD, once adopted them. The SPD proto biodiversity and in the SPD has been	nning Documents ased to provide guapable of being a They must be conclicies contained apared a draft Jod of policy SE14 (", should assist apvides further guid nabitats.	("SPDs") provide furth idance for development material consideration is istent with national pwithin the Local Plan. The rell Bank SPD for conformation of the policants when making ance on existing policance with the Town hing Policy Framework.	her detail to the policient on specific sites, on in planning decision planning policy, must usualtation. The draft Scouncil's Local Plan Scouncil's Local Plan Scouncil's Local Plan Scounciles, rather than setting and Country Planning	or on particular issues but are not part undergo consultated. PD provides addited in the council of a new policy apport of the council of th	ues, such as of the ion and must be ional guidance on n July 2017. The in determining proach in relation (England)	



	The SPD has been prepared in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended by the Local Planning, Development Management Procedure, Listed Buildings etc (England) (Coronavirus) (Amendment) Regulations 2020), the National Planning Policy Framework and National Planning Practice Guidance. An Equalities Impact Assessment was prepared alongside the integrated Sustainability Appraisal work which supported the Local Plan Strategy. An Equalities Impact Assessment has also been prepared to support the emerging Site Allocations and Development Policies Document. The assessment found that the LPS policies (including policies particularly relevant to the SPD) and emerging SADPD are unlikely to have negative effects on protected characteristics or persons identified under the Equality Act 2010.
Who are the main stakeholders and have they been engaged with? (e.g. general public, employees, Councillors, partners, specific audiences, residents)	Public consultation will take place on the draft SPD for four weeks in accordance with the Town and Country Planning ((Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012) and the council's adopted Statement of Community Involvement. This will include the general public, town and parish councils, statutory consultees, elected members, consultees who have registered on the strategic planning database.
What consultation method(s) did you use?	The council prepares a Statement of Community Involvement which provides detail on how it will consult on Local Plan documents and SPDs. This includes the availability of documents, how residents and stakeholders will be notified etc. The council's Local Plan consultation database, which will be notified of the consultation, also includes a number of organisations who work alongside groups with protected characteristics in the borough. Once consultation has taken place on the draft SPD, all comments received will be reviewed before consideration is given to any amendments required. A report of consultation will be prepared alongside the final version of the SPD and this will also be subject to further consultation. This EIA will be kept updated as the draft SPD progresses.

Stage 2 Initial Screening

Who is affected and what
evidence have you considered to
arrive at this analysis?
(This may or may not include the
stakeholders listed above)

Ward councillors. Those living and working in the borough, property owners, landowners and developers, clinical commissioning group, special interest groups.



Who is intended to benefit ar how? Could there be a different im or outcome for some groups	pact ?	univer which managexisting the ideconting No, the consideration the sit planning within	has been identified as a world heritage site who real value to the human race. Whilst the operal supports management of development here, gement is beneficial to all of humanity. The Sing planning policies related to the assessment entified boundaries of the World Heritage Site and functional operation of JBO which resear the SPD builds upon existing planning policy goder planning applications. The provision of guinge Site of Jodrell Bank will assist in clarifying the and its continued operation. The SPD, in applications in a policies should be beneficial to a wide variable the identified boundary of the World heritage.	ttors of the cor D will t of plar . The co ch, jobs uidance dance dance when do plying a ety of g Site.	JBO itsed of provide a provide a properties and the and properties and the and properties and proups in the province and proups in the province and p	elf will benefit from additional plant operation of the site, and its successed ditional guidance on the implementations on matters relating to development in this area is essert exister economy in Cheshire Eastwides further information about he development will be treated within ment could harm the outstanding used guidance to assist in the interprescluding communities, landowners	ning guidantsful of the total to the total to the total to the total to the total the World niversal vietation of and deve	of ont within incil will lalue of lopers
Does it include making decis	ions		e introduction of the SPD is not based on ind					
based on individual			nation on the management of development wi		World H	leritage Site. The content of the S	PD does r	not
characteristics, needs or		relate	directly to the characteristics of human popul	ations.				
circumstances?	4	NI- U	- ODD :			and the Alata array		
Are relations between differe	I	ino, th	e SPD is not intended to affect different group	os or co	mmuniti	es in this way.		
groups or communities likely be affected?	/ to							
be aπεστεσ? (eg will it favour one particula	ar							
group or deny opportunities								
others?)	101							
Is there any specific targeted		No. th	e SPD is not intended to target any group and	d will be	consult	ed upon in line with the council's	Statement	of
action to promote equality? I			nunity Involvement.		20			. = •
there a history of unequal			,					
outcomes (do you have enou	ıgh							
evidence to prove otherwise)								
Is there an actual or potentia	l negat	ive im	pact on these specific characteristics? (P	ease ti	ck)			
Age	Υ	N	Marriage & civil partnership	Υ	N	Religion & belief	Υ	N
Age	•	14	marriage & civii partilersilip	•	- 14	Religion & Deller	'	- 14
Disability	Y	N	Pregnancy & maternity	Y	N	Sex	Y	N
Gender reassignment	Y	N	Race	Y	N	Sexual orientation	Y	N



What evidence do you have to support you you wish to include as appendices to this			provide additio	nal information that		ultation/ vement ed out
					Yes	No
Age		an impact those living and wo				X (to be carried
Disability		k SPD provides further guida Bank" to support the manage	·			out)
Gender reassignment	-	uidance on policy requirement act on the World Heritage Site		that applicants can		
Marriage & civil partnership		SPD may be beneficial as it v		porting the long term		
Pregnancy & maternity	success of JBO that	can support the economy, re	• •	•		
Race	human populations.	who a suidence on the valieur	annuach act aut	in the Legal Dian		
Religion & belief	Strategy.	rther guidance on the policy	approach set out	. III the Local Plan		
Sex		are identified at this stage in	•	•		
Sexual orientation	officers are not curre	ver public consultation will be ntly aware of.	e undertaken and	this may raise issues		
	The EIA will be review	wed (and updated) once the	initial consultatio	n has taken place.		
Proceed to full impact assessment? (Please tick)	Yes	No		Date: 24/05/2021		
Lead officer sign off		Date				
Head of service sign off		Date				



If yes, please proceed to Stage 3. If no, please publish the initial screening as part of the suite of documents relating to this issue





Stage 3 Identifying impacts and evidence

This section identifies if there are impacts on equality, diversity and cohesion, what evidence there is to support the conclusion and what further action is needed

Protected characteristics	Is the policy (function etc) likely to have an adverse impact on any of the groups? Please include evidence (qualitative & quantitative) and consultations List what negative impacts were recorded in Stage 1 (Initial Assessment).	Are there any positive impacts of the policy (function etc) on any of the groups? Please include evidence (qualitative & quantitative) and consultations List what positive impacts were recorded in Stage 1 (Initial Assessment).	Please rate the impact taking into account any measures already in place to reduce the impacts identified High: Significant potential impact; history of complaints; no mitigating measures in place; need for consultation Medium: Some potential impact; some mitigating measures in place, lack of evidence to show effectiveness of measures Low: Little/no identified impacts; heavily legislation-led; limited public facing aspect	Further action (only an outline needs to be included here. A full action plan can be included at Section 4) Once you have assessed the impact of a policy/service, it is important to identify options and alternatives to reduce or eliminate any negative impact. Options considered could be adapting the policy or service, changing the way in which it is implemented or introducing balancing measures to reduce any negative impact. When considering each option you should think about how it will reduce any negative impact, how it might impact on other groups and how it might impact on relationships between groups and overall issues around community cohesion. You should clearly demonstrate how you have considered various options and the impact of these. You must have a detailed rationale behind decisions and a justification for those alternatives that have not been accepted.
Age				
Disability				
Gender reassignment				
Marriage & civil partnership				



Pregnancy and maternity		
maternity		
Race		
Religion & belief		
Sex		
Sexual orientation		
1.411. 1		141 441

Is this change due to be carried out wholly or partly by other providers? If yes, please indicate how you have ensured that the partner organisation complies with equality legislation (e.g. tendering, awards process, contract, monitoring and performance measures)



Stage 4 Review and Conclusion

ASSESSMENT

Summary: provide a brief overview including in	npact, changes, improvement, any gaps in evidence	and additional data that	is needed
Specific actions to be taken to reduce, justify or remove any adverse impacts	How will this be monitored?	Officer responsible	Target date
Please provide details and link to full action plan for actions			,
When will this assessment be reviewed?			
Are there any additional assessments that need to be undertaken in relation to this assessment?			
ead officer sign off		Date:	
Sad officer sign off		23/03/21	
	Tom Evans		
Head of service sign off		Date:	

Please publish this completed EIA form on the relevant section of the Cheshire East website